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## Perceptron - a.k.a. single neuron

## A perceptron takes multiple inputs

(e.g.: x1, x2, x3) and produces a single binary output.


## Perceptron - a.k.a. single neuron

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A perceptron takes multiple inputs } \\
& (\mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g} .: \times 1, \times 2, \times 3) \text { and produces a sins } \\
& \text { output }= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j} \leq \text { threshold } \\
1 & \text { if } \sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j}>\text { threshold }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { (e.g.: x1, } x 2, \times 3 \text { ) and produces a single binary output. }
$$



That's all there is to how a perceptron works!

## Perceptron - a.k.a. single neuron

Output: Going to Gouda for cheese festival on Saturday.
X1: Is the weather good?
X2: Am I going with friend?
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Output: Going to Gouda for cheese festival on Saturday.
X1: Is the weather good?
X2: Am I going with friend?
X3: Is the venue easy to commute?

Let's say:


You don't mind that much going alone (X2), and
since it is at the Weekend you don't mind that much to commute for longer (X3). But you hate bad weather and you would rather stay at home in bad weather (X1).

## Perceptron - a.k.a. single neuron

Output: Going to Gouda for cheese festival on Saturday.
X1: Is the weather good?
X2: Am I going with friend?
X3: Is the venue easy to commute?

Let's say:


You don't mind that much going alone (X2), and
since it is at the Weekend you don't mind that much to commute for longer (X3). But you hate bad weather and you would rather stay at home in bad weather (X1).
$\mathrm{w} 1=6, \mathrm{w} 2=\mathrm{w} 3=2$

## Perceptron - a.k.a. single neuron

Output: Going to Gouda for cheese festival on Saturday.
X1: Is the weather good?
X2: Am I going with friend?
X3: Is the venue easy to commute?
$w 1=6, w 2=w 3=2$
What would happen if threshold $=5$ ?


$$
\text { output }= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j} \leq \text { threshold } \\ 1 & \text { if } \sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j}>\text { threshold }\end{cases}
$$

## Perceptron - a.k.a. single neuron

```
Output: Going to Gouda for cheese festival on Saturday.
X1: Is the weather good?
X2: Am I going with friend?
X3: Is the venue easy to commute?
w1 = 6, w2 = w3 = 2
What would happen if threshold = 5?
What would happen if threshold = 3?
```



$$
\text { output }= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j} \leq \text { threshold } \\ 1 & \text { if } \sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j}>\text { threshold }\end{cases}
$$

## Perceptron - a.k.a. single neuron

We can rewrite weighted sum as an inner product of two vectors.

$$
\sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j}=w \cdot x
$$

We can assume that $\mathrm{b}=-$ threshold.

output $= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j} \leq \text { threshold } \\ 1 & \text { if } \sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j}>\text { threshold }\end{cases}$

## Perceptron - a.k.a. single neuron

We can rewrite weighted sum as an inner product of two vectors.

$$
\sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j}=w \cdot x
$$

We can assume that $\mathrm{b}=$-threshold.


$$
\text { output }= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } w \cdot x+b \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text { if } w \cdot x+b>0\end{cases}
$$

## Layers of Perceptrons

First layer:
inputs


Second layer:
Making four decisions by weighing
up the results from first layer decisions making

Using multiple layers of perceptrons, neural networks can make more sophisticated decisions

## Layers of Perceptrons

First layer:
inputs


Second layer:
Making four decisions by weighing
up the results from first layer decisions making

Using multiple layers of perceptrons, neural networks can make more sophisticated decisions.

But how we set the weights (and biases)?

## Neural Networks

1. Suppose that we know how small change in weights changes the output.
small change in any weight (or bias) causes a small change in the output
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## Neural Networks

1. Suppose that we know how small change in weights changes the output.
2. Starting with random initialization we can iteratively update (supervise) the weights with small changes to bring the output to expected value.
3. We control the learning process, by testing and validating it with data that were not used while weight optimization.
small change in any weight (or bias) causes a small change in the output


Problem with Perceptron: $w+\Delta w \xrightarrow{\text { causes a small change in the output }}$

$$
\text { output }= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } w \cdot x+b \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text { if } w \cdot x+b>0\end{cases}
$$



Problem with Perceptron: $w+\Delta w$ cuwses smand dange int heoutput
output $= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } w \cdot x+b \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text { if } w \cdot x+b>0\end{cases}$



Problem with Perceptron: ${ }^{w+\Delta w}$

$$
\text { output }= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } w \cdot x+b \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text { if } w \cdot x+b>0\end{cases}
$$





## Sigmoid Neuron

A percen sigmoid neuron takes multiple inputs (e.g.: $x 1, x 2, x 3$ ) and produces a single binary output.


## Sigmoid Neuron

A perceptron sigmoid neuron takes multiple inputs (e.g.: $x 1, x 2, x 3$ ) and produces a single binary output.

sigmoid function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=w \cdot x+b \\
& \sigma(z)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## First order Taylor approximation (Quick (ookup)

```
Let's say we have function f, and value c,
for which function output value of f(c) is known.
f(x) \approx f'(c)(x-c)+f(c)
f(x) - f(c) \approx f'(c)(x-c)
\Deltaf}\approx\mp@subsup{f}{}{\prime}(c)\Delta
```

For $x$ in neighborhood of $c$, output value $f(x)$ can be approximated as:

## Neural Networks:

small change in any weight (or bias)

$\Delta$ output $\approx \sum_{j} \frac{\partial \text { output }}{\partial w_{j}} \Delta w_{j}+\frac{\partial \text { output }}{\partial b} \Delta b$

## Feedforward Network architecture

3 layers: Input Hidden Output


Recognizing Digits with Neural Nets.

MNIST Data:
$28 \times 28$ images
Greyscale (single channel)
Goal: classifying/recognizing images.

## Recognizing Digits with Neural Nets.



| 0 | -1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 4 |
|  | 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 |
| 8 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 1 |
| 8 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 3 |
| 0 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 4 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 9 |
| 0 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
|  | 4 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 5 |

## Recognizing Digits with Neural Nets.



For $x$ representing digit 6:

$$
y(x)=(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0)^{T}
$$

$$
C(w, b) \equiv \frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{x}\|y(x)-a\|^{2}
$$

Learning with gradient descent


Learning with gradient descent


Learning with gradient descent


$$
\Delta C \approx \frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{1}} \Delta v_{1}+\frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{2}} \Delta v_{2}
$$

## Learning with gradient descent



$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta C \approx \frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{1}} \Delta v_{1}+\frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{2}} \Delta v_{2} \\
\Delta C \approx \nabla C \cdot \Delta v
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\nabla C \equiv\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{1}}, \frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{2}}\right)^{T}
$$

## Learning with gradient descent


$\nabla C \equiv\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{1}}, \frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{2}}\right)^{T}$
$\Delta C \approx \frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{1}} \Delta v_{1}+\frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{2}} \Delta v_{2}$

$$
\Delta C \approx \nabla C \cdot \Delta v
$$

$$
\Delta v=-\eta \nabla C
$$

$$
v \rightarrow v^{\prime}=v-\eta \nabla C
$$

Learning with gradient descent


$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla C \equiv\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{m}}\right)^{T} \\
\Delta C \approx \nabla C \cdot \Delta v \\
\Delta v=-\eta \nabla C \\
v \rightarrow v^{\prime}=v-\eta \nabla C
\end{gathered}
$$

Learning with gradient descent


$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla C \equiv\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial C}{\partial v_{m}}\right)^{T} \\
\Delta C \approx \nabla C \cdot \Delta v \\
\Delta v=-\eta \nabla C \\
v \rightarrow v^{\prime}=v-\eta \nabla C
\end{gathered}
$$

## NN with gradient descent



$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{k} & \rightarrow w_{k}^{\prime}=w_{k}-\eta \frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{k}} \\
b_{l} & \rightarrow b_{l}^{\prime}=b_{l}-\eta \frac{\partial C}{\partial b_{l}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Stochastic Gradient Descent


$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{x} \equiv \frac{\|y(x)-a\|^{2}}{2} \\
C=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x} C_{x} \\
\nabla C=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x} \nabla C_{x} \\
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \nabla C_{X_{j}}}{m} \approx \frac{\sum_{x} \nabla C_{x}}{n}=\nabla C
\end{gathered}
$$

Stochastic Gradient Descent

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{x} \equiv \frac{\|y(x)-a\|^{2}}{2} \\
C=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x} C_{x} \\
\nabla C=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x} \nabla C_{x} \\
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \nabla C_{X_{j}}}{m} \approx \frac{\sum_{x} \nabla C_{x}}{n}=\nabla C \\
w_{k} \rightarrow w_{k}^{\prime}=w_{k}-\frac{\eta}{m} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial C_{X_{j}}}{\partial w_{k}} \\
b_{l} \rightarrow b_{l}^{\prime}=b_{l}-\frac{\eta}{m} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial C_{X_{j}}}{\partial b_{l}},
\end{gathered}
$$

## BackPropagation



YEAH. ALL THESE EQUATIONS ARE LIKE MIRACLES. YOU TAKE TWO NUMBERS AND WHEN YOU ADD THEM, THEY MAGICALLY BECOME ONE NEW NUMBER! NO ONE CAN SAY HOW IT HAPPENS. YOU EITHER BELIEVE IT OR YOU DONT.


BackPropagation: Notation
layer $1 \quad$ layer $2 \quad$ layer 3

$w_{j k}^{l}$ is the weight from the $k^{\text {th }}$ neuron in the $(l-1)^{\text {th }}$ layer to the $j^{\text {th }}$ neuron in the $l^{\text {th }}$ layer

## BackPropagation: Notation


$w_{j k}^{l}$ is the weight from the $k^{\text {th }}$ neuron in the $(l-1)^{\text {th }}$ layer to the $j^{\text {th }}$ neuron in the $l^{\text {th }}$ layer


BackPropagation: Cost function


## BackPropagation

$$
\begin{gathered}
C=\sum\left(y-a^{L}\right)^{2} \\
\frac{\partial C}{\partial a^{L}}=2\left(a^{L}-y\right)
\end{gathered}
$$



## BackPropagation



$$
\begin{array}{cc}
z^{L}=w^{L} \cdot a^{L-1}+b^{L} & C=\sum\left(y-a^{L}\right)^{2} \\
a^{L}=\sigma\left(z^{L}\right) & \frac{\partial C}{\partial a^{L}}=2\left(a^{L}-y\right)
\end{array}
$$



BackPropagation


$$
\begin{gathered}
z^{L}=w^{L} \cdot a^{L-1}+b^{L} \\
a^{L}=\sigma\left(z^{L}\right)
\end{gathered} \quad \frac{\partial C=\sum\left(y-a^{L}\right)^{2}}{\partial a^{L}}=2\left(a^{L}-y\right)
$$

(C)

## BackPropagation



## BackPropagation



BackPropagation


$$
\begin{array}{cc}
z^{L}=w^{L} \cdot a^{L-1}+b^{L} & C=\sum\left(y-a^{L}\right)^{2} \\
a^{L}=\sigma\left(z^{L}\right) & \frac{\partial C}{\partial a^{L}}=2\left(a^{L}-y\right)
\end{array}
$$


(y) $a^{L}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial C}{\partial a^{L}}=2\left(a^{L}-y\right) \\
\frac{\partial C}{\partial z^{L}}=\frac{\partial a^{L}}{\partial z^{L}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial a^{L}}=\sigma^{\prime}\left(z^{L}\right) \cdot 2\left(a^{L}-y\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

(C) $\frac{\partial C}{\partial w^{L}}=\frac{\partial z^{L}}{\partial w^{L}} \frac{\partial a^{L}}{\partial z^{L}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial a^{L}}=a^{(L-1)} \sigma^{\prime}\left(z^{L}\right) \cdot 2\left(a^{L}-y\right)$

$$
\frac{\partial C}{\partial b^{L}}=\frac{\partial z^{L}}{\partial b^{L}} \frac{\partial a^{L}}{\partial z^{L}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial a^{L}}=1 \cdot \sigma^{\prime}\left(z^{L}\right) \cdot 2\left(a^{L}-y\right)
$$

BackPropagation


## BackPropagation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial C}{\partial a^{L}}=2\left(a^{L}-y\right) \\
\frac{\partial C}{\partial z^{L}}=\frac{\partial a^{L}}{\partial z^{L}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial a^{L}}=\sigma^{\prime}\left(z^{L}\right) \cdot 2\left(a^{L}-y\right) \\
\frac{\partial C}{\partial w^{L-1}}=\frac{\partial z^{L-1}}{\partial w^{L-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial a^{L-1}}{\partial z^{L-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial z^{L}}{\partial a^{L-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial C}{\partial z^{L}}=a^{L-2} \cdot \sigma^{\prime}\left(z^{L-1}\right) \cdot w^{(L)} \cdot \frac{\partial C}{\partial z^{L}} \\
\frac{\partial C}{\partial b^{L-1}}=\frac{\partial z^{L-1}}{\partial b^{L-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial a^{L-1}}{\partial z^{L-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial z^{L}}{\partial a^{L-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial C}{\partial z^{L}}=\sigma^{\prime}\left(z^{L-1}\right) \cdot w^{(L)} \cdot \frac{\partial C}{\partial z^{L}}
\end{gathered}
$$



