Lesson 01
What is a digital terrain model?

GEO1015—2018

Hugo Ledoux
Ken Arroyo Ohori
Ravi Peters

Digital terrain model (DTM), or simply ‘terrain’

- A representation of the Earth's surface.
- It gives us the *elevation*, which is the height above/below a certain reference point (a vertical datum)



Is this a DTM?



Is this a DTM?

DTM, DSM, DEM?





- **DEM** (**D**igital **E**levation **M**odel). In the literal meaning of the term, it is simply a model of the elevation. A DEM is either a DSM or a DTM.
- **DTM** (**D**igital **T**errain **M**odel). The surface of the Earth is the bare-earth, that is no man-made objects or vegetation is present.
- **DSM** (**D**igital **S**urface **M**odel). The surface includes all objects and structures on the terrain.

DTM, DSM, DEM?





DEM often == grid (in USA)

- **DEM** (**D**igital **E**levation **M**odel). In the literal meaning of the term, it is simply a model of the elevation. A DEM is either a DSM or a DTM.
- **DTM** (**D**igital **T**errain **M**odel). The surface of the Earth is the bare-earth, no man-made objects or vegetation is present.
- **DSM** (**D**igital **S**urface **M**odel). The surface includes all objects and structures on the terrain.

Point clouds represent the DSM

Dimensionality of DTMs



(a) A terrain

(b) 2.5D modelling

(c) 2.75D modelling

(d) Volumetric modelling, or full 3D

Dimensionality of DTMs



2.5D DTM == a field

- *a = f(x,y)*
- *to represent a field/terrain we need:*

1. *a set of samples (usually elevation points)*
2. *set of rules to obtain elevation at upsampled locations*

Strategy #1: points + global interpolation function



- IDW
- Kriging
- nearest neighbour
- etc

Strategy #2: piecewise spatial model



regular



irregular



hierarchical

- constant function
- linear function
- higher-order function

a (regular) grid; also called a ‘raster’

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	0
0	50	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	0
0	50	100	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	100	50	0
0	50	100	150	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	150	100	50	0
0	50	100	150	200	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	200	150	100	50	0
0	50	100	150	200	250	300	300	300	300	300	250	200	150	100	50	0
0	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	350	350	300	250	200	150	100	50	0
0	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	400	350	300	250	200	150	100	50	0
0	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	350	350	300	250	200	150	100	50	0
0	50	100	150	200	250	300	300	300	300	300	250	200	150	100	50	0
0	50	100	150	200	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	200	150	100	50	0
0	50	100	150	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	150	100	50	0
0	50	100	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	100	50	0
0	50	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	0
0	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TIN == 2D surface embedded in 3D



Why TIN is often Delaunay?





4 most common representations

raster



TIN



point cloud

contour lines

these are ‘incomplete’, but still used in practice

A typical conversation during a DTM conference

- "TINs are better."
- "No, regular gridded DEM are better."
- "No, you're wrong. The variable resolution of the TINs makes the TIN structure much more efficient, and in turn, more accurate, than a DEM."
- "But the TIN's advantages are more than outweighed by the overhead in storage space and processing time. With the same resources, I can get a better representation of an elevation surface with a DEM."
- "But..."

from Kumler (1994)

Kumler (1994) carried out a 4-year study

- DEMs and TINs were compared
- “a model will be judged more *efficient* than another if it represents a surface more accurately within the same amount of storage space, measured in bytes”
- the common belief that a TIN is more space-efficient is handicapped by the fact that a TIN must have *at least* 3 times less points to be of equal space
- Conclusions: DEMs can estimate heights more accurately than comparably-sized TINs
- “See? I told you DEMs were more efficient.”
- “Yeah, well... TINs still *look* better.”

References

Kumler, M. P. (1994). An intensive comparison of triangulated irregular networks (TINs) and digital elevation models (DEMs). *Cartographica*, 31(2).

https://3d.bk.tudelft.nl/courses/geo1015/